

CONDIT DAM REMOVAL DRAFT SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

This report is available on the Department of Ecology Web site at:
<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wr/cwp/condit.html>

For a CD or printed copy of this report contact:

Derek Sandison
Department of Ecology
303 South Mission Street, Suite 200
Wenatchee WA 98801
Phone (509) 457-7120

Refer to Publication number 09-11-011

If you need this publication in an alternate format, please contact Tim Schlender in Ecology's Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program at (360) 407-6096. Persons with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability can call (877) 833-6341.

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER



STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

303 S Mission St, Ste 200 • Wenatchee, WA 98801-6142 • (509) 662-0500

June 4, 2009

Dear interested parties:

The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) has prepared and issued this Draft Second Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) in accordance with the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) for the Condit Dam Removal Project. The project involves a proposal by PacifiCorp to remove the Condit Hydroelectric Project on the White Salmon River, a tributary of the Columbia River.

Ecology previously conducted environmental review of the project comparing the effects of continued operation of the dam (the no-action alternative) with the removal of the dam (proposed action). This process culminated with a Final SEIS published in March 2007. The Final SEIS supplemented earlier National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental impact statements (EISs) produced by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) in 1996 and 2002.

After the SEPA Final SEIS was published, additional sediment sampling found mercury levels in Northwestern Lake sediment that exceed screening criteria. Ecology determined that these findings warranted additional environmental review under SEPA. Thus, a second SEIS is being prepared. In addition to addressing the sediment issue, this Draft Second SEIS addresses a change in the proposed location for disposal of concrete from the dam.

The public comment period on the Draft Second SEIS closes July 20, 2009. Written comments can be provided to the SEPA Responsible Official at the following address:

Derek Sandison
Department of Ecology
303 S. Mission St. Suite 200
Wenatchee, WA 98801

or emailed to dsan461@ecy.wa.gov (Please use the following in the subject line: ("Condit Dam Draft SEIS Comments")). Comments received will be considered by Ecology as the department prepares the Final Second SEIS for the project. Thank you for your interest in this project.

Sincerely yours,

Derek I. Sandison
Director, Office of Columbia River
SEPA Responsible Official



Fact Sheet

Project Title

Condit Dam Removal

Proponent

PacifiCorp
825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 1500
Portland, Oregon 97232-2135

Proposed Action

PacifiCorp proposes to remove the Condit Hydroelectric Project on the White Salmon River in accordance with the Condit Hydroelectric Project Settlement Agreement, as amended in 2005. Removal of the project would enable the river and watershed to return to the conditions of a free-flowing river. Originally completed in 1913, Condit Dam has since accumulated sediment and blocked fish passage. Removing the dam is expected to provide access to as much as 32.4 miles of river and tributary habitat for anadromous steelhead and salmon, and restore connectivity to foraging, spawning, rearing, and overwintering habitat for bull trout in the lower White Salmon River. The removal also would restore natural bed load movement processes in the river. Combined with a stable and natural flow regime, dam removal would result in increased salmonid (steelhead, salmon, and bull trout) production potential.

The proposed action includes draining the reservoir through a tunnel that would be constructed through the dam, removing the dam, removing the wood stave pipeline, the surge tower and the two penstocks, and filling in the tail race at the power house. Concrete from the dam would be disposed of on property near the dam.

Previous National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental impact statements were prepared by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), but were found by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) to not adequately cover all State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) issues. Ecology issued a Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) to address the environmental impacts of removal of the Condit Dam, which was prepared pursuant to SEPA. The Final SEPA SEIS evaluated one alternative: the proposed action to remove the Condit Dam and associated facilities.

Ecology elected to issue this Draft Second Supplemental EIS because of the discovery that mercury concentrations in the sediments in Northwestern Lake were higher than originally thought and because of changes in the location of concrete disposal.

Lead Agency Information

Responsible Official and Contact: Derek Sandison
Washington State Department of Ecology
303 S.Mission St., Suite 200
Wenatchee, WA 98801
(509) 457-7120

Permits, Certifications, and Licenses, and Other Required Actions or Approvals

Clean Water Act Section 404 permit from the US Army Corps of Engineers

Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification from Ecology

Construction Stormwater General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit

The Federal Power Act would preempt state and local permits, (see FERC's May 18, 2006 declaratory order) except laws adjudicating proprietary water rights. It does not prevent FERC from ordering PacifiCorp to implement decommissioning requirements proposed by state and local agencies, including those for state permits or permits from Klickitat or Skamania County.

Date of Issue of Draft SEPA SEIS

September 30, 2005

Date of Issue of Final SEPA SEIS

March 23, 2007

Date of Issue of Draft Supplement to Final SEPA SEIS

June 4, 2009

Comments on the Draft EIS

In accordance with WAC 197-11-455, persons or agencies shall have 45 days (including a 15-day automatic extension) from the date of issue to review and comment on the Draft Second Supplemental EIS. Comments must be postmarked or received by the Responsible Official no later than July 20, 2009.

Written comments may be sent to:

Derek Sandison
SEPA Responsible Official
Department of Ecology
303 S.Mission St., Suite 200
Wenatchee, WA 98801

or e-mailed to dsan461@ecy.wa.gov (please include “Condit Dam Draft 2nd SEIS Comments” in the subject line).

Document Availability

Information regarding the availability of this Draft Supplement to the Final SEPA SEIS will appear in the Goldendale Sentinel and the White Salmon Enterprise newspapers. The Draft Supplement to the Final SEPA SEIS can be viewed online at <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wr/cwp/condit.html>. Hard copies of the Draft Supplement to the Final SEPA SEIS can be obtained at a cost of \$20 per copy. The Draft Supplement to the Final SEPA SEIS is also available on CD at no charge. Hard copies or CDs can be obtained by contacting Derek Sandison. Please specify the desired format. **Persons with disabilities may request this information be prepared and supplied in alternative formats.**

Copies of the Draft Supplement to the Final SEPA SEIS can be reviewed at Ecology’s Central Regional Office, 15 W Yakima Avenue, Suite 200, Yakima, Washington or at the following libraries:

White Salmon Valley Community Library
#5 Town & Country Square
White Salmon, WA
98672

Goldendale Community Library
131 West Burgen
Goldendale, WA
98620

Table of Contents

Fact Sheet..... i
 Acronyms and Abbreviations.....vi

1.0 SUMMARY 1-1
 1.1 INTRODUCTION 1-1
 1.2 ADOPTION OF NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT
 DOCUMENTS..... 1-1
 1.3 FOCUS OF THIS DRAFT SECOND SEIS..... 1-2
 1.4 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION..... 1-2
 1.5 SCHEDULE 1-2
 1.6 SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES 1-3

2.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND 2-1
 2.1 INTRODUCTION 2-1
 2.2 PROJECT BACKGROUND SUMMARY 2-1
 2.3 NEED FOR STATE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW 2-2
 2.4 SCOPE OF THIS DRAFT SECOND SEIS..... 2-3

3.0 PROPOSED ACTION..... 3-1
 3.1 DAM REMOVAL ACTIVITIES..... 3-1
 3.2 DAM BREACHING AND REMOVAL..... 3-3
 3.3 SCHEDULE 3-4

4.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, IMPACTS, MITIGATION MEASURES, AND
 SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS 4-1
 4.1 GEOLOGY, SOILS, AND SEDIMENTS 4-1
 4.2 WATER RESOURCES 4-1
 4.3 AQUATIC RESOURCES 4-5
 4.4 TRANSPORTATION..... 4-11
 4.5 LAND USE/CRITICAL AREAS 4-12

5.0 REFERENCES 5-1

6.0 LIST OF PREPARERS..... 6-1

7.0 DISTRIBUTION LIST..... 7-1

Table of Contents

(Continued)

Figures

1	Project Vicinity	2-4
2	Project Facilities 1 of 3.....	3-5
3	Project Facilities, 2 of 3.....	3-6
4	Project Facilities, 3 of 3.....	3-7

Tables

1	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	1-7
2	Proposed Project Schedule.....	3-4
3	Mercury Concentrations in Columbia River Basin Salmonids and Stream Sediments in the Vicinity of the White Salmon River and Bonneville Pool...	4-14

Supplemental Documents

Supplemental documents can be found on the CD inside the back cover of this document.

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AR	access road
BMP	best management practice
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EIS	environmental impact statement
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
LCR	Lower Columbia River
LOS	level of service
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
ppm	parts per million
RM	river mile
SA	staging area
SEIS	Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement
SEPA	State Environmental Policy Act
SR	State Route
TSS	total suspended solids
WAC	Washington Administrative Code

1.0 SUMMARY

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The Condit Hydroelectric Project, located on the White Salmon River in Klickitat and Skamania Counties, Washington, was constructed in 1912 and 1913 and has produced electricity since it was completed. PacifiCorp is proposing to cease electricity generation at the Condit Hydroelectric Project on October 1, 2010, and commence removal of the dam later the same month. The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) conducted an environmental review under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) comparing the effects of continued operation of the dam (the no-action alternative) with the removal of the dam (proposed action). This process culminated with a Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) published in March 2007, which supplemented earlier National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental impact statements (EISs) produced by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) in 1996 and 2002. After the Final SEPA SEIS was published, additional sediment sampling reported mercury levels in Northwestern Lake sediment that exceed screening criteria, thus warranting further analysis. This brought into question the conclusions of the Final SEPA SEIS concerning contaminants in the sediment and effects of releasing them. To resolve the questions, Ecology elected to produce a Supplement to the Final SEPA SEIS (the Draft Second Supplemental EIS or Draft Second SEIS). In addition, the proposed location for disposal of the concrete from the dam has been changed. Disposal is now proposed to occur in the area where the wood-stave flowline will be removed, which is between the dam and the surge tank, roughly parallel to the White Salmon River.

1.2 ADOPTION OF NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT DOCUMENTS

The Final SEPA SEIS supplemented the following NEPA documents:

- Condit Hydroelectric Project Final Environmental Impact Statement, FERC No. 2342-005, Washington (FERC 1996)
- Final Supplemental Final Environmental Impact Statement, Condit Hydroelectric Project, Washington, FERC Project No. 2342 (FERC 2002)

These documents identified and evaluated a range of reasonable alternatives to the proposal, identified probable significant impacts associated with the proposal and its alternatives, and addressed mitigation measures to be imposed by FERC. The NEPA documents were evaluated to verify, from Ecology's perspective, whether a reasonable range of alternatives were considered and whether all probable significant adverse impacts associated with the proposal were adequately identified and assessed. It was determined that, while these documents form a substantial basis for environmental review of the project and largely meet Ecology's environmental review standards, some supplemental evaluation of probable significant adverse impacts would be needed to satisfy the requirements of SEPA (Chapter 43.21C Revised Code of Washington) and SEPA Rules (Chapter 197-11 Washington Administrative Code [WAC]).

In the SEPA SEIS, Ecology adopted the aforementioned NEPA documents, pursuant to the provisions of WAC 197-11-610 and 630, to partially satisfy its requirements for SEPA compliance. This Draft Second Supplemental EIS further supplements the Final SEPA SEIS.

1.3 FOCUS OF THIS DRAFT SECOND SEIS

The primary focus of this Draft Second SEIS is on the potential effects of mercury in sediments that would be released into the White Salmon and Columbia Rivers, and on the effects of disposing of the concrete in a new location. (See Section 2.4 for a complete list of issues addressed in this Supplement.)

1.4 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION

The existing Condit Hydroelectric Project includes a concrete dam, an approximately 1.8-mile-long reservoir, a 13.5-foot-diameter wood-stave pipeline of approximately one mile in length, a reinforced-concrete surge tower, two 650-foot-long penstocks (one steel and one wood), and a powerhouse structure housing two turbines with an installed capacity of 14,700 kilowatts.

The proposed action includes draining the reservoir through a tunnel that would be constructed through the dam, removing the dam, removing the wood stave pipeline, the surge tank, and the two penstocks, and partially filling the tail race at the power house. Concrete from the dam originally was to be hauled to a storage/disposal area located a few thousand feet upstream of the dam and owned by PacifiCorp. The revised plan is to dispose of the concrete in the existing flowline alignment between the dam and the surge tank. Details of the proposed action are described in the Project Removal Design Report (PacifiCorp Energy 2009a), which supersedes the 2004 Project Description (PacifiCorp 2004). The Project Description included numerous plan documents designed to minimize or eliminate potential impacts related to the project. These plans also have been updated and are referenced in other sections of this Supplement.

1.5 SCHEDULE

The Settlement Agreement was entered into in 1999 to resolve all issues in the proceeding for relicensing the project by FERC. It was amended in 2005. Under the Settlement Agreement and upon FERC approval, PacifiCorp would continue to operate the project under the terms of its existing FERC license on a year-by-year basis until the dam removal could begin, whereupon PacifiCorp would cease generating power at the project. This is now proposed to be October 1, 2010.

If all applicable permits, easements, and contracts have been obtained, project removal activities would commence in August 2010. The demolition and removal of Condit Dam and other project facilities are estimated to take about one year. Monitoring would then continue until performance criteria are met.

1.6 SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

1.6.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Impacts and mitigation measures relating to mercury in the sediments and the revised concrete disposal area are summarized by element of the environment in Table 1.

1.6.2 Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts

Geology, Soils, and Sediments

The Final SEPA SEIS already identified the release of sediment as causing unavoidable adverse impacts in the lower White Salmon River and in the Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site at the mouth of the White Salmon River.

The simultaneous release of the mercury in the sediments could cause the deposition of sediment with higher concentrations of mercury than screening criteria. Sediment concentrations in samples from the Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site indicate that the mercury levels there are similar to the levels found in Northwestern Lake fine sediments. The effects of deposition of the sediment are not expected to rise to a significant level for aquatic organisms or for people.

Water Resources

Significant unavoidable adverse impacts identified in the Final SEPA SEIS with respect to surface water include massive turbidity and sediment transport as part of the dam breaching and removal. Total suspended solids (TSS) within the six hours after the dam breach could range from 100,000 to 250,000 parts per million (ppm) and turbidity values could range from 50,000 to 127,000 nephelometric turbidity units (NTUs). Elevated TSS and NTU are expected episodically throughout the first year following the dam breach, as bank and river channel stabilization occurs. Elevated turbidity levels are expected in the Bonneville pool, where the waters of the Columbia River and the White Salmon River mix. Clay particles will likely remain suspended in the Columbia River, thus temporarily increasing turbidity all the way to the mouth of the Columbia River.

After the Final SEPA SEIS was published, additional sediment sampling reported mercury levels in Northwestern Lake sediment that exceed regulatory thresholds. Additional analysis (GEC 2009) concluded that mercury concentrations in the water column would likely exceed Ecology's acute and chronic water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life in the White Salmon River for 20 and 49 days, respectively, following dam removal. The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) acute and chronic water quality guidelines or criteria for the protection of human health also would be exceeded in the White Salmon River for 22 and 39 days, respectively. Mercury concentrations would be sufficiently diluted once entering the Columbia River so that the neither the Ecology acute criterion for the protection of aquatic life nor the EPA acute water quality criterion for the protection of human health would be exceeded within or downstream of Bonneville Pool. The Ecology chronic water quality criterion for the protection of aquatic life likely would be exceeded for 17 days in the Bonneville Pool, and for seven days at Quincy, Oregon. Within Bonneville Pool, the EPA chronic mercury criterion would likely be exceeded for five days.

Significant unavoidable adverse impacts were not identified with respect to groundwater or from the concrete disposal.

Aquatic Resources

The Final SEPA SEIS stated that all fish and aquatic macroinvertebrates within the White Salmon River channel downstream of the dam will likely be killed or displaced by the load of suspended solids that will occur during dam breaching. The populations of macroinvertebrates will likely take several years to fully reestablish. In the Columbia River, the effects would be substantially less and would diminish downstream of the mouth of the White Salmon River.

The lack of acute mercury exceedance and the relatively short durations of chronic mercury exceedance in the Columbia River suggest that the dam breaching is not likely to result in long-term impacts to aquatic organisms in the Columbia River associated with mercury toxicity. Any fish likely to be affected by acute mercury exceedance in the White Salmon River after the breaching of Condit Dam would have been killed by the high levels of suspended sediments present in the river immediately following the breaching of the dam and continued high levels of suspended sediments present during the period of elevated concentrations of mercury present in the lower White Salmon River.

The lack of correlation between mercury concentrations present in sediments and the tissues of juvenile salmonids collected in tributaries of the Bonneville Pool, as well as the relative health and abundance of salmonids in the White Salmon River despite the elevated levels of mercury in the basin's sediments, both suggest that dam breaching is unlikely to result in long-term impacts associated with mercury bioaccumulation to fish or their forage base in the Columbia River.

In addition, the deposited sediments would be deep enough (up to five feet) at the Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site and the adjacent Columbia River/Bonneville Pool that most of the volume would be effectively isolated from both the methylating bacteria and the organisms that might bioaccumulate the mercury. The clay and fine silt fraction would either be carried out to the Pacific Ocean or would be deposited over a broad area and diluted by other sediments being carried by the Columbia River and its tributaries.

Wetland Resources

No additional unavoidable adverse wetland impacts are expected as a result of the changes in the project since the Final SEPA SEIS.

Terrestrial Resources

There will be no significant unavoidable adverse impacts.

Transportation

With the implementation of the identified mitigation measures, no significant unavoidable adverse transportation or traffic impacts are expected to occur.

Air Quality

There are unlikely to be any significant unavoidable adverse impacts from demolition of the Condit Dam if the mitigation measures are implemented fully and in a timely fashion.

Land Use/Critical Areas

If the PacifiCorp Sediment Assessment, Stabilization, and Management Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008a), Revegetation and Wetland Management Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2009b) and Erosion Control Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008b) are implemented, no long-term unavoidable significant adverse impacts to land use/critical areas are anticipated. There would be short-term unavoidable impacts to sites along or near the reservoir that would be used for work areas, construction staging or for disposal, and from the access roads that would be built in several locations.

Aesthetics and Scenic Resources

No additional unavoidable adverse impacts are expected as a result of the changes in the project since the Final SEPA SEIS.

Public Safety

If the proposed mitigation measures for public safety are implemented, no significant unavoidable impacts are expected.

Public Services

If the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2009c) is implemented, no significant unavoidable adverse impacts are expected.

1.6.3 Secondary and Cumulative Effects

Secondary or indirect effects are those that are caused by the proposed project that are later in time or farther removed in distance than direct impacts, but which are still reasonably foreseeable. A theoretical example might be the development of mercury bioaccumulation affecting aquatic species at some time months or years after the sediment containing the mercury is deposited.

Cumulative effects are impacts on the environment that result from the incremental consequences of a project when added to other past or reasonably foreseeable future actions (regardless of who would take the future action). The cumulative effects may be undetectable when viewed individually, but add to other disturbances and eventually lead to a measurable change. No cumulative adverse effects are expected for this project.

Aquatic Resources

The primary consideration for cumulative effects on aquatic resources is concern whether anadromous salmonid stocks that are already depressed by the effects of dams and reservoirs on the Columbia River and other influences will be significantly harmed by the sediment released from Northwestern Lake during the breaching of Condit Dam. The mitigation proposed to protect the fall Chinook salmon is trapping adults and releasing them upstream of the project area, although the Biological Opinions (NMFS 2006) approved trapping and hatchery-rearing one year-class. This mitigation appears to address the concern for that species. Long-term effects on salmonids are viewed as beneficial.

Transportation

The proposed project would cause a small increase in trips on local roads, but is not anticipated to create traffic congestion or a diminution of the level of service (LOS) at any affected

intersection. Other projects in the area (if any) are not anticipated to have overlapping construction and/or demolition periods. It is anticipated that construction/demolition vehicles for overlapping projects traveling into or out of Washington State would be via State Route (SR) 14 and would not result in cumulative impacts on SR 141 or Powerhouse Road.

Land Use/Critical Areas

No additional unavoidable adverse impacts are expected as a result of the changes in the project since the Final SEPA SEIS.

**Table 1
Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

Impacts	Geology, Soils, and Sediments	Mitigation
<p>Woody debris released from the reservoir sediment might clog the drain tunnel and interfere with draining the reservoir.</p>	<p>The tunnel has been redesigned to have a shape less conducive to clogging and to have a vent hole that would allow explosives to be used to clear it. Measures to prevent clogging, such as removing debris from near the tunnel, and means of clearing clogs (crane, blasting) will be implemented as needed.</p>	
<p>Mercury concentration in deposited sediment is assumed to be the average of 0.617 milligrams/kilogram and would be above the sediment criteria.</p>	<p>Only the coarser fraction will be deposited, while the finest (most bioavailable) component will go to the ocean and be diluted. In the Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site and nearby Columbia River, only the surface few inches of the deposit will be accessible to biota and methylating and demethylating bacteria. Further downstream, thin deposits will be mixed with sediment from other sources and covered within a few months. Sediment monitoring will be conducted to compare areas with deposits to other areas in the Columbia River.</p>	
Water Resources		
<p>There is potential for water leached from concrete disposal to increase pH of the White Salmon River or groundwater.</p>	<p>Concrete will be in rubble or blocks with relatively low surface area and will be covered with soil. Water will be directed away from it, and soil has buffering capacity. Long-term water quality monitoring in the river is proposed, including pH.</p>	<p>The mercury acute screening exceedances in the White Salmon River will be limited to the times when TSS level is high.</p>
<p>The mercury level in the water column will exceed the acute water quality criterion in the White Salmon River for an estimated 20 days, probably not all consecutive. The chronic water quality criterion would be exceeded in the White Salmon River for up to 49 days and in the Columbia River for 17 days, also probably not consecutively.</p>		
<p>The potential for water quality effects will extend past the initial activities planned for dam removal and sediment stabilization.</p>		<p>Monitoring of applicable water quality parameters, including turbidity, TSS, and pH, as well as observation and documentation of banks and fish passage, will continue from a month before the commencement of dam removal activities until such time that performance criteria are met (PacifiCorp 2004). In addition, PacifiCorp would conduct turbidity monitoring in the Bonneville pool for four weeks after the dam is breached and conduct turbidity monitoring at three locations in the White Salmon River for a period of 10 years after the dam is breached.</p>

**Table 1 (Continued)
Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

Impacts	Aquatic Resources	Mitigation
<p>The disposal of concrete in the flowline alignment parallel with the White Salmon River might allow water leaching through the concrete to increase the pH to the point (above pH 9) where it might adversely affect aquatic biota. The sediment from the reservoir with its included mercury will raise the mercury level in the water during the flushing of sediment from the reservoir above acute toxicity criteria for an estimated 20 days.</p> <p>The chronic water quality thresholds would be exceeded in the White Salmon River for up to 49 days and in the Columbia River for 17 days, probably not consecutively.</p> <p>The sediment newly deposited downstream of the dam (mostly in the Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site and in the Columbia River up to one mile downstream of the mouth of the White Salmon River) would have a mercury concentration assumed to be the average of 0.617 milligrams/kilogram.</p>	<p>The erosion control and revegetation measures to be implemented are expected to prevent any chance of the pH rising in the White Salmon River.</p> <p>The biota (receptors) in the White Salmon River are all expected to be dead or displaced from the high initial TSS concentrations, thus negating concerns with acute levels of mercury.</p> <p>Because of the relatively short duration at chronic levels, populations of biota are not expected to change as a result of the mercury.</p>	
<p>The sediment newly deposited downstream of the dam (mostly in the Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site and in the Columbia River up to one mile downstream of the mouth of the White Salmon River) would have a mercury concentration assumed to be the average of 0.617 milligrams/kilogram.</p>	<p>Since most of the clay and fine silt particles will not be deposited in the Bonneville Pool, and the coarser material will be deposited up to five feet deep, relatively little mercury will be bioavailable or available to methylating and demethylating bacteria. Also, very little fish diet in the Bonneville Pool comes from benthic organisms from areas where the deposits would occur. Therefore, no measurable effects on aquatic organisms of the food chain or higher trophic levels are expected.</p>	
<p>Salmon trying to enter the White Salmon River to spawn while the mass of sediment is passing would be killed and no reproduction of anadromous fish will occur until levels of suspended solids fall below lethal levels and migration to suitable spawning gravels above the upstream end of the reservoir becomes possible.</p>	<p>To prevent the loss of a Chinook year-class, the White Salmon Working Group has proposed to capture the fall Chinook returning to the White Salmon River before the dam is breached in October, and transport them upstream of the project area. The dam will be breached in October to minimize the risk of harm to seasonal fish runs. The timing would take advantage of the rainy season, when there will be fewer adverse effects on recreation and aquatic life. The high flows of the season will aid in transporting sediment from the reservoir. The successful capture and transport of adult fish was demonstrated in 2008.</p>	
<p>The old cofferdam in the reservoir upstream of the dam is expected to be a barrier to upstream migration by anadromous fish. If the removal is delayed, the removal may cause a spike in TSS and mercury downstream.</p>	<p>Cofferdam removal will occur as soon as possible after dam removal.</p>	
Transportation		
<p>Approximately 1,000 truck loads of sediment hauled from the drained reservoir to cover the concrete removed from the dam will travel on SR 141 to the Powerhouse Road.</p>	<p>The change in traffic numbers is too small to change LOS or other metrics. Mitigation measures already planned for traffic safety should cover the additional truck trips.</p>	

**Table 1 (Continued)
Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures**

Impacts	Mitigation
<p>Land Use/Critical Areas</p> <p>The new location for concrete disposal is mostly within 200 horizontal feet of the White Salmon River, within the Shoreline Management zone.</p>	<p>The soil covering and revegetation would restore more of a natural character to the environment instead of an industrial character with the flowline in place. The disposal area is within the FERC project boundary and may be deemed exempt from the Shoreline Management Act.</p>

2.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The Condit Hydroelectric Project, located on the White Salmon River in Klickitat and Skamania Counties, Washington (Figure 1), was constructed in 1912 and 1913 and has produced electricity since that time. PacifiCorp is proposing to remove the Condit Hydroelectric Project following the October 1, 2010 cessation of electricity generation under its license extension with FERC. Ecology conducted a SEPA environmental review comparing the effects of continued operation of the dam (the no-action alternative) with the removal of the dam (proposed action), which culminated with a Final SEPA SEIS published in March 2007. After the Final SEPA SEIS was published, additional sediment sampling reported mercury levels in Northwestern Lake sediment that exceed regulatory screening criteria. This brought into question the conclusions of the Final SEPA SEIS concerning contaminants in the sediment and effects of releasing them. This Supplement to the Final SEPA SEIS (Draft Second Supplemental EIS or Draft Second SEIS) was produced to resolve these questions.

In addition, the proposed location for disposal of the concrete from the dam has been changed. Disposal is now proposed to occur in the area where the wood-stave flowline will be removed, which is nearer the White Salmon River.

2.2 PROJECT BACKGROUND SUMMARY

On December 27, 1991, PacifiCorp submitted an application for a new license to continue operating the Condit Hydroelectric Project. That began a long process that led to the decision to remove the Condit Dam and surrender the license. The highlights of the process from 1991 to 2009 are summarized in the chart below.

Date	Event
December 27, 1991	PacifiCorp applied to FERC for a new license to continue operating the Condit Hydroelectric Project
October 1996	FERC issued a Final NEPA EIS that analyzed five alternatives. In the 1996 Final NEPA EIS, FERC staff recommended PacifiCorp’s licensing proposal with modifications that included fish passage facilities and several other changes to benefit fish.
October 29, 1999	PacifiCorp applied to extend the current license term to October 1, 2006, and to incorporate the terms and conditions of a Settlement Agreement that provided for removal of the dam.
June 2002	FERC issued a Final Supplemental Final NEPA EIS that assessed the effects of surrendering the dam operating license, including dam removal.
November 16, 2004 to February 8, 2005	The parties to the Settlement Agreement all signed a Memorandum of Agreement modifying the Settlement Agreement and changing the date for dam removal from 2006 to 2008, subject to permits.
February 2005	PacifiCorp filed to amend the FERC decommissioning application to be consistent with the terms of the Memorandum of Agreement.
March 2007	Ecology issued a Final SEPA SEIS.
March 2007	Mercury was reported in additional sediment samples, triggering concerns about water quality and the bioaccumulation and toxicity

Date	Event
	potential of deposited sediments.
July 2007 to January 2009	PacifiCorp consultants performed additional sampling, characterization, and assessment of mercury levels, forms, origin, concentrations, and bioaccumulation potential.
May 2008 to May 2009	PacifiCorp updated various removal and management plans.

2.3 NEED FOR STATE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Ecology is both the Lead Agency for SEPA and the regulatory decision maker for some of the permits that require SEPA documentation to support permit decisions. Pursuant to WAC 197-11-620, Ecology prepared a SEPA SEIS focusing on issues that were not covered adequately in either of the NEPA documents (the 1996 Final EIS or the 2002 Final Supplemental Final EIS), both by FERC.

The SEPA SEIS built on previous environmental documents. The 1996 FERC Final EIS on relicensing Condit Dam described and analyzed the effects of a no-action alternative, which would continue operation of the Condit Hydroelectric Project under the terms and conditions of the existing license. That analysis of the no-action alternative and other pertinent information was adopted as part of the SEPA SEIS.

Since the Final SEPA SEIS, PacifiCorp has produced additional documents and updated others that are pertinent to this additional Supplement to the Final SEPA SEIS. The following additional documents have been produced and were used to complete this Draft Second SEIS (the complete documents can be found in the CD inside the back cover of this document):

- Various plans:
 - Project Removal Design Report (PacifiCorp Energy 2009a)
 - Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2009b)
 - Aquatic Resources Protection Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008c)
 - Sediment Assessment, Stabilization, and Management Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008a)
 - Dust Control Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008d)
 - Woody Debris Management Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008e)
 - Erosion Control Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008b)
 - Recreation Facility Removal and Improvements Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2009d)
 - Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan (SPCC Plan) (PacifiCorp Energy 2008f)
 - Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2009c)
 - Quality Control and Inspection Program (PacifiCorp Energy 2008g)
 - Environmental Monitoring Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2009e)
- Sediment Sampling and Analysis Report (Kleinfelder 2007a)
- Supplemental Evaluation of Mercury in Sediments Report (Kleinfelder 2007b)

- Updated Evaluation of Mercury Bioaccumulation, Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site, Northwestern Lake (Kleinfelder 2008a)
- Estimated Mercury Concentrations and Turbidity Resulting from Removal of Condit Dam (GEC 2009)

2.4 SCOPE OF THIS DRAFT SECOND SEIS

This Draft Second SEIS focuses on the issues that have arisen since the Final SEPA SEIS publication in March 2007. The issues identified by Ecology that require additional assessment as part of the SEPA process are listed below.

Water Resources

- Impacts to water quality in the White Salmon River from potentially toxic amounts of mercury in released sediment.
- Impacts to ground or surface water quality from leachate resulting from disposal of concrete and other construction debris.

Aquatic Resources

- Impacts to salmonids if increased turbidity and/or pH results from the new proposed concrete disposal site near the White Salmon River.
- Impacts to salmonids from potentially toxic amounts of mercury when the sediment is released into the water with dam breaching.
- Impacts to aquatic resources from increases in mercury concentrations in sediment deposited in the White Salmon and Columbia Rivers.

Transportation

- Impacts to traffic from hauling sediment to new concrete disposal site.

Land Use/Critical Areas

- Concrete disposal within 200 feet of White Salmon River, a Shoreline of the State.

3.0 PROPOSED ACTION

PacifiCorp proposes to remove the Condit Hydroelectric Project on the White Salmon River in accordance with the Condit Hydroelectric Project Settlement Agreement, as amended. Restoring undammed river flow would provide access to as much as 15.3 to 32.4 miles of river and tributary habitat for anadromous salmon and steelhead, respectively, and would restore connectivity to foraging, spawning, rearing, and overwintering habitat for bull trout in the lower White Salmon River. Dam removal would result in increased salmonid (steelhead, salmon, and bull trout) production potential.

The existing Condit Hydroelectric Project includes a concrete dam, an approximately 1.8-mile-long reservoir, a 13.5-foot-diameter wood-stave pipeline of approximately one mile in length, a reinforced-concrete surge tower, two 650-foot-long penstocks (one steel and one wood), and a powerhouse structure. All of these except the powerhouse are proposed to be removed.

The proposed action includes draining the reservoir through a tunnel that would be constructed through the dam; removing the dam, wood stave pipeline, surge tower, and the two penstocks; and filling in the tail race at the power house. The drain tunnel through the dam has been redesigned to reduce the potential for it to clog with wood debris. Concrete from the dam would be disposed of in a new location: the existing flowline alignment between the dam and the surge tank (Figures 2 through 4). Also, wood and metal from the flowline would be temporarily stored at a location different than in the 2007 Final SEPA SEIS. Details of the proposed action are described in the Project Removal Design Report (PacifiCorp Energy 2009a).

3.1 DAM REMOVAL ACTIVITIES

In order to implement the terms of the Settlement Agreement and perform the removal and associated restoration work, temporary work areas, staging areas (SAs), and access roads (ARs) would need to be established and utilized. All locations were chosen to minimize potential impacts by establishing them in or near previously used access roads and work areas when possible.

3.1.1 Staging Areas and Disposal Areas

The concrete to be removed from the dam would be placed in the flowline alignment between the dam and the surge tank. The flowline location is essentially a bench cut into the hillside above the White Salmon River and is approximately 35 feet wide by 5,100 feet long (Figures 2 through 4). After the flowline is removed, small ephemeral streams would be culverted. The concrete blocks and rubble would be hauled along the flowline alignment as far as the northernmost flowline trestle (Figure 3) and placed there so that the overall shape of the hill would blend with the natural contours once the concrete is covered and vegetated. The concrete would be covered with sediment that would be hauled in from the drained reservoir, possibly supplemented with soil material originally removed from the flowline alignment and placed in adjacent berms. Concrete to be placed nearer the surge tank would

be hauled along the Powerhouse Road and on the access road to the surge tank, then along the flowline alignment as far as the northernmost trestle. Concrete from the surge tank also could be placed in this area or in the spillway below it. It would be covered with soil material, likely including sediment hauled from the reservoir. Because of the steep slope, specific erosion control measures would be implemented. The surface would be stabilized and revegetated according to specifications in the Erosion Control Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008b) and the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2009b).

While concrete recycling is the preferred disposal method, it is unlikely to occur. If it does, it would occur at a recycling site not yet identified that is assumed to be independent of the Condit project and to have or acquire its own permits. For analysis purposes in the Final SEPA SEIS, the site was assumed to be within 30 miles of Condit Dam and to require hauling the concrete on SR 14 and SR 141. The concrete crushing for recycling would occur at the recycling site.

A temporary disposal/storage area for the wood and metal from the wood-stave flowline and penstock would be established on the site where the operator's houses would be removed (SA-6 on Figure 4), rather than on property owned by the Becker family located a few hundred feet east of the flowline. This site was designated SA-5 in the Final SEPA SEIS. This site will not be used and therefore there would be no associated impacts.

The wood from the flowline may go to a facility to be remilled for use in other wood-stave pipelines, remilled as lumber, or disposed of off site if not suitable for reuse. The steel from the flowline hoops and other facility components may be stored temporarily at the site where the operator's houses would be removed or other staging locations before it is hauled away for recycling. Under the new removal plan, SA-4 would not be used and therefore there would be no associated impacts.

At the conclusion of the proposed dam removal actions, all temporarily disturbed areas, including the staging areas, would be regraded and revegetated consistent with the proponent's revegetation plan.

3.1.2 Access Roads

Access roads (ARs) throughout the project area are necessary to perform the removal operations defined by the Settlement Agreement and the associated reclamation and monitoring activities. Although most of the work areas can be accessed using established roads, some areas would require reestablishing roads that have become overgrown and others where new segments of road would be built to access specific facilities. Most of the ARs were discussed in the Final SEPA SEIS. With the changes since the Final SEPA SEIS, AR-3 to SA-3 would be widened to 30 feet (Figure 2), as would AR-7 and AR-8 to the surge tank and flowline (Figure 4). Up to three additional ARs between Powerhouse Road and the flowline alignment may be required for placement of concrete blocks and rubble and the covering soil. AR-13, as proposed in the Final SEPA SEIS, would not be needed or built, and therefore there would be no associated impacts.

3.2 DAM BREACHING AND REMOVAL

3.2.1 Concrete Dam Removal, Storage, and Disposal

Approximately 34,000 cubic yards of concrete from the dam would be disposed of in the flowline alignment. Up to about 2,500 cubic yards of steel-reinforced concrete would be removed from the surge tank. Concrete blocks and rubble remaining from the dam would be hauled to the existing flowline alignment between the dam and the surge tank, and rubble from the surge tank would be disposed of in the spillway below it in order to fill it. Sediment from the drained reservoir and soil from berms adjacent to the flowline would be used to cover the concrete. The area would be contoured for drainage and revegetated.

3.2.2 Upstream Cofferdam Removal and Disposal

Historic photographs and drawings show that a cofferdam system was used in the original construction of the dam and was left behind in the reservoir and subsequently flooded. This cofferdam would be removed as soon as possible after draining of the reservoir following dam breaching. It would be important to remove the cofferdam as soon as possible so that it would not hold back fine sediments that could kill fish and exceed water quality criteria after the river has been reoccupied by fish and so that it would not block upstream fish passage.

3.2.3 Monitoring

Monitoring is proposed to demonstrate that performance criteria are met for several of the management plans. Monitoring would continue during the post-removal management period. The proposed monitoring would include:

- Continuous turbidity and pH monitoring at a minimum of two new water quality monitoring sites using electronic data loggers
- Monitoring for mercury in sediments at the mouth of the White Salmon River and in three places in the Columbia River
- Visual inspection of all fugitive dust sources and effectiveness of dust control methods
- Monitoring revegetation and presence of noxious weeds
- Topographic sediment mapping to assess effectiveness of bank stabilization
- Visual inspection of stormwater erosion control measures and their effectiveness
- Identification and monitoring of wetland establishment areas
- Fish passage evaluation and record keeping

The duration of the monitoring is variable, but would generally continue until specific performance criteria are met.

3.3 SCHEDULE

If all applicable permits, easements and contracts have been obtained, commencement of the agreed-upon construction schedule would occur no later than August 1, 2010. Project removal would commence during October 2010. The demolition and removal of Condit Dam and other project facilities are estimated to take one year. There are no plans to remove the powerhouse. Removal is scheduled to be completed by fall of 2011. The project schedule is outlined in Table 2.

**Table 2
Proposed Project Schedule**

Time Period	Action
May	Mobilization
June – September	Water line relocation and bridge protection
August – October	Site layout – setup and clearing staging areas, set up barge in reservoir
August – September	Construction of access roads, including road to spillway slab below dam
September	Construct drain tunnel
September – October	Remove spillway gates and tailrace wall
October	Remove reservoir decks, docks, and log boom, clear sediment and debris upstream of drain tunnel
October (Year 1)	Remove final drain tunnel plug and commence reservoir sluicing
October - November (Year 1)	Remove upstream cofferdam
November (Year 1)	Demolish headworks
November– July (Year 1)	Demolish dam
October – May (Year 1)	Remove flowline, surge tank, penstocks, and powerline
May – July (Year 1)	Haul sediment from drained reservoir and cover concrete
February – April (Year 1)	Modify powerhouse and fill tailrace, as required
August (Year 1)	Demobilization for dam removal activities

Source: PacifiCorp Energy (2009a)

Note: Years noted are sequenced from the time of reservoir drawdown. Year 1 is the yearlong period following the drawdown and breaching of the dam.

4.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, IMPACTS, MITIGATION MEASURES, AND SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS

4.1 GEOLOGY, SOILS, AND SEDIMENTS

4.1.1 Affected Environment

At the time of dam removal, an estimated 2.4 million cubic yards of sediment (2.3 million cubic yards according to Finley Engineering Co. [2006]), primarily silt and sand with some gravel, would have accumulated in Northwestern Lake since the construction of Condit Dam in 1913. More than 7,500 feet upstream from the dam and primarily upstream from Northwestern Lake Bridge, the size of reservoir sediments is dominantly in the sand (.075–4.75 mm) and gravel (4.75–75 mm) range. Closer to the dam, the sediments are primarily sand and silt (.0039–.075 mm), and finally silt and clay (<.0039 mm) nearest the dam. Tributary streams to the reservoir have formed deltas into the reservoir with sand and gravel as well as cobbles (75–300 mm). The fine-grained portion of the river's suspended load has either passed through the dam to the lower reaches of the river or collected in the reservoir basin behind the dam.

Laboratory analysis of the lake sediments indicated a limited distribution of low to trace concentrations of chlorinated pesticide residue and selected metals. Metals were generally present at concentrations consistent with established background levels. Volatile organic compounds, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, dioxins, and polychlorinated biphenyls were not detected in the lake sediment samples (FERC 1996). A 2007 laboratory analysis of the fine sediments in Northwestern Lake indicated that they contain an average concentration of mercury of 0.72 mg/kg (Kleinfelder 2007a). The maximum concentration of mercury detected was 2.03 mg/kg. These values are beyond the criteria contained in the *Interim Final Northwest Regional Sediment Evaluation Framework* (USACE et al. 2006). The mercury concentration in a sediment sample from the Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site at the confluence of the White Salmon and Columbia Rivers also was found to be 0.72 mg/kg. The elevated mercury concentrations are attributed to natural volcanic processes and are within the range of background concentrations reported in the literature. The fine sediments contained behind Condit Dam would get flushed downstream when the dam is removed and could adversely impact water quality and aquatic resources downstream (see Sections 4.2 and 4.5).

4.2 WATER RESOURCES

The water resources discussed in this section include surface water features such as rivers, streams, and lakes, as well as groundwater that might be affected by activities associated with removal of Condit Dam, the resulting actions during removal, or the absence of the reservoir. Adjacent areas that might affect the water also are discussed.

4.2.1 Affected Environment

The affected environment for surface water resources includes Northwestern Lake, the White Salmon River downstream from Condit Dam, and the portion of the Columbia River downstream from the confluence with the White Salmon River. Upland areas where work would occur that could affect water resources include the area immediately surrounding Condit Dam, access roads to work areas and staging areas, the tailrace from the power plant, the corridor occupied by the wood stave pipeline where concrete from the dam would be disposed of, and the spillway from the surge tank to the White Salmon River.

4.2.2 Impacts

Dam Breaching and Removal

Dam breaching and removal would result in water quality impacts (suspended sediment) in the White Salmon River. Elevated mercury levels in the fine sediment to be released from Northwestern Lake also could affect downstream water resources. Management of the concrete from the dam would include disposal of the concrete debris on the existing flowline alignment between the dam and the surge tank. The concrete debris would be covered by sediment removed from the drained reservoir and possibly excavated fill from the original flowline construction. Potential surface water and groundwater quality impacts include increased sediment load and changes to pH.

Impacts Associated with Elevated Mercury Levels in Fine Sediments

The fine sediments in Northwestern Lake contain an average concentration of mercury of 0.72 mg/kg (Kleinfelder 2007a). The maximum concentration of mercury detected was 2.03 mg/kg. These values are beyond the criteria contained in the *Interim Final Northwest Regional Sediment Evaluation Framework* (USACE et al. 2006). The elevated concentrations are attributed to natural volcanic processes and are within the range of background concentrations reported in the literature (Kleinfelder 2007b). The mercury in the fine sediments contained behind Condit Dam would get flushed downstream when the dam is removed and would adversely affect downstream water quality.

In January 2009, GEC mercury concentrations in the White Salmon River and the Columbia River resulting from dam removal (GEC 2009). Three scenarios were evaluated. The third scenario, which assumes that 75% of the total fine-grained sediment will erode, and that 50% of this will erode in the first day after breaching, is the most likely. Mercury concentrations were estimated at four locations: 1) in the White Salmon River immediately downstream from Condit Dam, 2) in Bonneville Pool, 3) downstream of Bonneville Pool, and 4) in the lower Columbia River near Quincy, Oregon. Mercury concentrations would likely exceed Ecology's acute and chronic water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life in the White Salmon River for 20 and 49 days, respectively, following dam removal. The EPA acute and chronic water quality guidelines or criteria for the protection of human health also would be exceeded in the White Salmon River for 22 and 39 days, respectively. Mercury concentrations would be sufficiently diluted once entering the Columbia River that the neither the Ecology acute criterion for the protection of aquatic life nor the EPA acute water quality criterion for the protection of human health would be exceeded within or downstream of Bonneville Pool. The Ecology chronic water quality criterion for the protection of aquatic

life would likely be exceeded for 17 days in the Bonneville Pool, and for seven days at Quincy, Oregon. Within Bonneville Pool, the EPA chronic mercury criterion would likely be exceeded for five days.

People are not likely to ingest water from the White Salmon River during the time the mercury levels would be elevated because of the associated high suspended sediment load and turbidity.

Concrete Disposal

Approximately 34,000 cubic yards of concrete from the dam would be disposed of on site. Approximately half of the dam could be removed in large blocks (4 feet by 6 feet by 10 feet). The remaining portion would be blasted into smaller rubble. The concrete would be transported by truck and placed along the existing flowline alignment between the dam and the surge tank. This alignment is an approximately 5,100-foot-long by 35-foot-wide bench cut into the hillside above the White Salmon River. The flowline is generally within 200 feet of the river, but elevated 80 to 100 feet above it.

There is no toxic byproduct associated with the concrete. However, a potential concern with on-site disposal of concrete is changes to the pH of local waters as a result of contact with fine concrete rubble and debris. Lime (CaCO_3), the common binder in cement, when in solution, has the ability to increase the pH of water beyond the range tolerable for most biota. This is normally a concern in concrete mixing operations, where the washout water can approach a pH of 12. The primary concern is the potential for water leaching off the concrete with an elevated pH and running into waters where effects on aquatic biota might occur.

The proposed method of dam demolition would result in large blocks and large-dimension rubble from cutting and blasting. The amount of surface area of fresh concrete faces would be limited. Only a very small amount of concrete powder would be expected to adhere to the larger pieces of debris (see Final SEPA SEIS Section 4.2-10). In addition, very little water would move past the concrete, since all streams and seeps would be separated from the concrete, and the soil covering would be contoured to avoid concentrating infiltration where the concrete is placed. As a result, changes to the pH of water in the vicinity of the disposal site are expected to be minimal.

The natural buffering capacity of the native soils also would minimize any adverse effects of the concrete disposal. The native soils in the vicinity of the disposal site are mapped as Hood loam (Haagen 1990). This is a very deep, well drained soil that formed in silty or loamy lacustrine deposits. The disposal site is on a lacustrine terrace escarpment with 30 to 65 percent slopes. Hood soils typically are fine to loamy-textured (loams or silt loams with 18 to 25 percent clay.) They are slightly to moderately acid (pH 5.7 to 6.2) and have moderately-high cation exchange capacities. Based on their texture, organic matter content, mineralogy, and acidity, these soils would be expected to have a moderately high ability to buffer increases in soil pH.

The nature of the concrete disposal site would limit potential adverse effects. Rather than being placed in a single large pile, where leachate can concentrate, disposal would occur along a linear bench. Alkaline leachate from the debris would not concentrate in a single

location, but would be dispersed along the entire length of the disposal site. The small amount of flow in contact with fine concrete debris would easily infiltrate downslope of the disposal site and be buffered. Therefore, there should be no change in pH in the White Salmon River as a result of the concrete disposal along the flowline alignment.

To further limit the potential of alkaline runoff reaching the White Salmon River, disposal zones would be kept a minimum of 100 feet (horizontal distance) from the ordinary high water mark of the river. Appropriate disposal zones would be marked along the flowline alignment. This 100-foot buffer currently contains mature forested vegetation that would greatly minimize any potential water quality impacts to the White Salmon River. In addition, streams and seeps that cross the flowline alignment would be culverted and separated by membrane material from the concrete. These features have been mapped in the vicinity of the flowline alignment and are shown on the Erosion Control Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008b). Based on preliminary calculations, the flowline alignment would provide an adequate area for disposal of all concrete from the dam and surge tank.

The concrete blocks and rubble would be capped with approximately 18 inches of soil/sediment excavated from the drained reservoir and possibly from the original flowline excavated fill. Excavation areas would be chosen where fine to medium-grained sediment is available. Depending on the nature of this sediment, additional topsoil or soil amendments may be required on top of the sediment to promote establishment of vegetation. The disposal area would be contoured for drainage and seeded as per the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2009b). Additional erosion and sedimentation controls are listed in the Erosion Control Plan and include silt fencing, culverting and protection of stream crossings, surface roughening, and mulching (PacifiCorp Energy 2008b). No bare soil would be left exposed during the rainy season. The Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan recommended the use of bonded-fiber matrix composed of wood fiber mulch and tackifier to stabilize reservoir sediments (PacifiCorp Energy 2009b). Sediments can be seeded prior to placement of the bonded-fiber matrix and the plants can emerge through the material. The use of unconsolidated reservoir sediments to cap the concrete disposal site may require the use of this material or erosion control blankets, especially in steeply sloping areas.

The Erosion Control Plan provides for maintenance and monitoring of the erosion control measures (PacifiCorp Energy 2008b). In addition, water quality monitoring in the White Salmon River has been proposed in the Environmental Monitoring Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2009e). These sites would continuously measure turbidity and pH using electronic data loggers. If runoff from the concrete disposal site is increasing the pH in the river, this would be detected at the monitoring sites. In this unlikely event, contingency measures to deal with the alkaline runoff would be proposed. These could include redirecting water or chemically treating the runoff on site to reduce pH.

The concrete in the spillway below the surge tank is a somewhat different situation from the flowline alignment. The concrete from the surge tank and its spillway is proposed to be disposed of in the location of the surge tank spillway. The lower end of the concrete spillway is within about 50 feet horizontally and 50 feet vertically from the White Salmon River. Mostly exposed bedrock lies between the end of the spillway and the river. The slope where

the spillway is located is very steep, falling more than 80 feet in a horizontal distance of about 140 feet. A horizontal barrier is proposed across the lower end of the spillway to keep materials from escaping into the river. The concrete rubble disposed of in this location would be an estimated average of seven feet deep and would be covered with soil material so that it can be revegetated. If the chunks of concrete are large, there would be relatively little surface area for leaching water to contact. The soil cover may be adequate to buffer any leached water.

However, even with a horizontal barrier, the likelihood is high that covering soil material would have a tendency to slide down the slope when it is saturated during the winter. If such a slide occurred, it is likely that at least the soil material would end up in the White Salmon River. Extra stabilization and erosion control measures would be applied to prevent problems with this location.

4.2.3 Mitigation Measures

Concrete Disposal Areas

Implement the best management practices (BMPs) as described in the Erosion Control Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008b) and Dust Control Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008d).

Proper implementation of these BMPs should minimize turbidity in stormwater runoff related to disturbance of soil in the upland areas and changes in pH. The BMPs may not be as effective in areas where there are steep slopes (such as along roads that may be constructed down into the canyon and in the area of the surge tank spillway). Extra stabilization and erosion control BMPs would be applied in the spillway location.

4.2.4 Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts

Significant unavoidable adverse impacts with respect to surface water include massive turbidity and sediment transport as part of the dam breaching and removal, as previously identified in the Final SEPA SEIS. After the Final SEPA SEIS was published, additional sediment sampling found mercury levels in Northwestern Lake sediment that exceed screening criteria, warranting further review. Additional analysis concluded that mercury concentrations would likely exceed Ecology's acute and chronic water quality criteria in the White Salmon River for 20 and 49 days, respectively, following dam removal (GEC 2009). Mercury concentrations would be sufficiently diluted once entering the Columbia River that the acute water quality criterion would not be exceeded within and downstream of Bonneville Pool. The Ecology chronic water quality criterion would likely be exceeded for 17 days in the Bonneville Pool, and for seven days at Quincy, Oregon. The impacts from mercury would be unavoidable, but would not rise to the level of a significant impact on organisms.

4.3 AQUATIC RESOURCES

Aquatic resources in this document are the fish, aquatic insects, and other aquatic invertebrates that live in the waters of the project, as well as the habitat elements within and adjacent to the waters that they rely upon. Other organisms, including amphibians and some

reptiles, birds, and mammals, spend significant parts of their life cycles in the water or have critical linkages to the water. These organisms could be considered aquatic resources, but in this document, they are primarily covered in the wildlife section.

4.3.1 Affected Environment

The affected environment for aquatic resources includes Northwestern Lake, the White Salmon River below Condit Dam, the Bonneville Pool of the Columbia River, the river and stream channels inundated by Northwestern Lake, and the White Salmon River and its tributaries above Condit Dam up to the maximum extent upstream that anadromous and fluvial salmonids may be expected to migrate. The aquatic resources would be affected by removal of the dam and reservoir and may be affected by activities in the area immediately surrounding Condit Dam, the access roads, and the work areas and staging areas.

Because the release of reservoir sediments following the breaching of Condit Dam is expected to kill all fish and eggs in gravel present in the White Salmon River and temporarily eliminate anadromous spawning in the lower White Salmon River, a mitigation measure long agreed to is the capture and relocation of Chinook salmon spawners to maintain a population base. The Biological Opinion (NMFS 2006) approved trapping and hatchery rearing. However, a decision was made in early 2008 by the White Salmon Working Group (which includes the National Marine Fisheries Service, US Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Tribes) to perform adult Lower Columbia River (LCR) fall Chinook salmon out-planting upstream of Condit Dam during the year of dam removal in lieu of adult collection and subsequent hatchery propagation (Engle and Skalicky 2009). That process was conducted as a pilot study in fall 2008. The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife estimated a 2008 White Salmon River spawning escapement of 775 LCR fall Chinook salmon, of which 296 were of hatchery origin and 479 were not externally marked. A capture goal of 500 LCR fall Chinook salmon was established to seed spawning habitat in the White Salmon River from the head of Northwestern Lake upstream to Husum Falls (Engle and Skalicky 2009).

A genetic analysis of juvenile Chinook salmon naturally produced in the lower White Salmon River downstream of Condit Dam (Allen and Connolly 2006) identified two populations of juveniles, with the earlier group genetically similar to LCR fall Chinook and the later group genetically similar to upriver bright fall Chinook (Smith et al. 2007). The genetic analysis also found the LCR fall Chinook salmon from Spring Creek National Fish Hatchery to be genetically similar to the LCR fall Chinook salmon juveniles captured in the White Salmon River (Smith et al. 2007).

In September 2008, to assess the feasibility of capturing and out-planting LCR fall Chinook, biologists tested several capture methods in the lower White Salmon River. Beach seines were adopted for the capture of Chinook spawners based on catch efforts and the difficulties in deploying and maintaining gill nets. Ninety hatchery-origin LCR fall Chinook salmon (37 male and 53 female) were captured in the lower river and 333 Chinook (162 male and 171 female) were collected from the Spring Creek National Fish Hatchery, for a total of 423 adult Chinook spawners. These fish were transported and released upstream of Condit Dam (Engle and Skalicky 2009).

LCR Chinook salmon were released at one of two sites in the upper White Salmon River above Condit Dam. The first site was located at the head of Northwestern Lake at the public boat ramp located at river mile (RM) 4.9. The second site was located at RM 7.5 and is just downstream of Husum Falls at a whitewater rafting take-out site. A total of 249 fish were released at the Northwestern Lake Boat Ramp and 174 fish were released near Husum Falls (Engle and Skalicky 2009).

A total of 35 of the planted fish were radio-tagged to track their movements, 25 at Northwestern Lake and 10 near Husum Falls. Radio-tagged fish at the Husum Falls release site demonstrated a strong fidelity to that area, while radio-tagged fish released at the Northwestern Lake site showed a large, variable amount of movement, with most fish showing an exploratory movement period between the upper and lower portions of Northwestern Lake (Engle and Skalicky 2009). No tagged fish were detected above Husum Falls. Fish from both release sites moved large distances, so the ability of LCR fall Chinook salmon to move within the river channel between RM 4.9 and RM 7.9 (Husum Falls) does not appear to be restricted.

Based on three redd surveys, a total of 80 redds was estimated, suggesting that the transport of spawners can be successful. A number of adjustments in the process were recommended, and presumably a similar transport of spawners would occur prior to breaching the dam in the fall of 2010.

4.3.2 Impacts

Impacts Associated with Elevated Mercury Levels in Fine Sediments

The duration of elevated mercury concentrations from suspended sediments in the White Salmon River would likely cause harm to aquatic organisms. However, it is already assumed that all fish and aquatic macroinvertebrates within the White Salmon River channel downstream of the dam would likely be killed or displaced by the load of suspended solids released during dam breaching. A study of the effects of exposure to high levels of suspended sediments on juvenile Chinook salmon indicated that mortalities over a 36-hour period begin to occur at 1,400 µg/L and that mortality reaches 90% at 39,000 µg/L (Newcombe and Flagg 1983). The concentration of suspended sediments in the White Salmon River following the breaching of the dam is predicted to exceed acute levels for a longer period than the concentration of water-borne mercury (GEC 2009). Therefore, the elevated mercury concentrations would not contribute to additional mortality within the White Salmon River. During the high flows immediately following the breaching of the dam, the White Salmon River would comprise approximately 7% of the average flow of the Columbia River (G&G Associates 2004a and 2004b, GEC 2009). As a result of the dilution in the Columbia River, total mercury concentrations within and downstream of the Bonneville Pool are not expected to exceed the Ecology (2.1 µg/L) or EPA (1.4 µg/L) acute mercury water quality criteria (GEC 2009). Downstream of Bonneville Pool, the EPA chronic mercury water quality criterion is not expected to be exceeded, while the Ecology criterion (0.012 µg/L) would likely be exceeded for seven to eight days. Within Bonneville Pool, the EPA chronic mercury criterion (0.077 µg/L) would likely be exceeded for five days, while the Ecology criterion would likely be exceeded for 17 days.

Most of the mercury present in the water-borne sediments would be inorganic mercury, rather than methyl mercury, which is far more toxic to fish. In a 2002 study, de Oliveira Ribeiro et al. (2002) exposed Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*), a close relative of the native bull trout (*S. confluentus*), to a 96-hour acute concentration (15 µg/L) of inorganic mercury. Histopathological effects to gill tissue were observed within 12 hours and modifications of cilia of ciliated olfactory cells appeared after 24 hours. A partial recovery was seen in both tissues by the end of the 96-hour exposure period. The liver was little affected by the exposure to water-borne inorganic mercury. The maximum predicted concentration of mercury from water-borne sediments would be 28.768 µg/L in the White Salmon River, 0.056 µg/L in the Bonneville Pool, and 0.019 µg/L downstream of the Bonneville Pool.

Huckabee and Griffith (1974) found that the lowest concentration of water-borne mercury that had a significant effect on the hatchability of carp eggs was 3 mg/L. The concentration of water-borne mercury in the lower White Salmon River would be far below this level before spawning salmonids are able to utilize the lower White Salmon River for spawning.

Exposure to sublethal mercury concentrations of 0.1, 0.05, and 0.01 mg/L have been demonstrated to impair the ability of mosquito fish to avoid predation by largemouth bass (Kania and O'Hara 1974). Assuming that juvenile salmonids would be similarly affected, at predicted mercury concentrations following the breaching of Condit Dam (GEC 2009), the ability of juvenile salmonids within the Bonneville Pool would likely be slightly impaired for approximately 38 days and approximately 11 days downstream of the Bonneville Pool.

Juvenile salmon exposed to sublethal concentrations of water-borne mercury did not display elevated mercury concentrations when returning to spawn after four years at sea (Amend 1970).

The lack of acute mercury exceedance and the relatively short durations of chronic mercury exceedance in the Columbia River suggest that the dam breaching is not likely to result in long-term impacts to aquatic organisms in the Columbia River associated with mercury toxicity. Any fish likely to be affected by acute mercury exceedance in the White Salmon River after the breaching of Condit Dam would have been killed by the high levels of suspended sediments present in the river immediately following the breaching of the dam and continued high levels of suspended sediments present during the period of elevated concentrations of mercury present in the lower White Salmon River.

Mercury in Stream and Bonneville Pool Substrate Sediments

The bioaccumulation and toxicity of mercury is influenced by several factors and the complex interactions among them. Kleinfelder (2008b) provides a technical summary of mercury cycling and toxicity to aquatic organisms. Mercury in water largely occurs in the inorganic form, mercury sulfide, which is insoluble and less harmful to fish. Under certain conditions, this can be converted into the more soluble organic form (methylmercury), which can accumulate to toxic levels in fish. Spangler et al. (1973) demonstrated that the methylation of mercury in sediments may be obviated by demethylation reactions by microbes, resulting in small or possibly zero net methylmercury release to the water. It is not possible to know the fate of mercury in the fine sediment that would be flushed into the Columbia River without more detailed and site-specific studies. Bioassays conducted on fine

sediment from Northwestern Lake showed that mercury was in a form that could bioaccumulate in the food chain, though the sediment had no adverse effect on the life cycles of test species. The different and dynamic environments in the Columbia River would determine if and how much of the mercury enters the food chain.

The mercury in the fine sediments in Northwestern Lake and the lower White Salmon River downstream of Condit Dam is most likely from a natural source and is within the range of background concentrations reported in the literature (Kleinfelder 2008a). The expected mercury concentrations in the Columbia River are at the low end of the toxicity scale. Documented mercury concentrations in sediments of the Bonneville Pool and its tributary streams outside of the vicinity of the White Salmon River basin vary between 0.014 and 0.2 mg/kg (Table 3). The average documented mercury concentrations in sediments of the White Salmon River basin are 0.142 mg/kg, with 0.72 to 1.2 mg/kg reported from the Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site and the mouth of the river, and 0.02 to 2.03 mg/kg (0.724 mg/kg average) reported from Northwestern Lake sediments. Hence, the expected sediment mercury concentrations of fine sediments from Northwestern Lake that would be deposited in the lower White Salmon River and Bonneville Pool in the vicinity of the mouth of the White Salmon River, following the breaching of the dam, would be unlikely to exceed the existing mercury concentrations in sediments currently present.

The concentrations of mercury in walleyes collected in Franklin D. Roosevelt Lake and the upper Columbia River had no significant correlation to mercury concentrations of the sediments present at their collection locations (Munn and Short 1997). This was attributed to the bioavailability of mercury varying due to local differences in the physical and chemical environment and the preferred foraging locations of the fish. Mercury concentrations in the tissue of non-hatchery rainbow trout collected from Northwestern Lake range from 0.185 to 0.295 mg/kg (Table 3). Mercury concentrations from Bonneville tributary streams have ranged from 0.1 to 0.5 mg/kg (Table 3). Mercury concentrations in fish tissue collected from 18 lakes and 2 rivers in 13 counties of Washington State averaged 0.217 mg/kg (Ecology 2003). The mercury concentration in fish tissues of juvenile salmonids collected throughout tributaries of the Bonneville Pool is within the range of mercury concentrations of juvenile salmonids from Northwestern Lake, despite the elevated level of mercury in sediments of the White Salmon River basin relative to other stream basins draining into the Bonneville Pool.

The lack of correlation between mercury concentrations present in sediments and the tissues of juvenile salmonids collected in tributaries of the Bonneville Pool, as well as the relative health and abundance of salmonids in the White Salmon River despite the elevated levels of mercury in the basin's sediments, both suggest that the dam breaching is not likely to result in long-term impacts associated with mercury bioaccumulation to fish or their forage base in the Columbia River.

In addition, the deposited sediments would be deep enough (up to five feet) at the Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site and the adjacent Columbia River/Bonneville Pool that most of the volume would be effectively isolated from both the methylating bacteria and the organisms that might bioaccumulate the mercury. The clay and fine silt fraction would either be carried out to the Pacific Ocean or would be deposited over a broad area and diluted by other sediments being carried by the Columbia River and its tributaries.

Concrete Disposal

Water in contact with fine concrete particles at the concrete disposal site may have an elevated pH. If the pH rises too much, aquatic organisms can be adversely affected, and levels above pH 9 are likely to be increasingly lethal to salmonids, especially if the increase is rapid (Wagner et al. 1997). The likelihood of such a rise in pH is unlikely because of dilution and buffering. Downstream from the powerhouse, the pH would be monitored continuously and compared with background levels. Any runoff of high pH water from the disposal sites is expected to be very limited, and would be diluted below harmful levels once reaching the river.

Beneficial Effects of Dam Removal on Fish

Potentially, 32.4 miles of new steelhead habitat and 15.3 miles of new salmon habitat may be accessed by anadromous salmonids after dam removal, increasing the run size and long-term viability of anadromous salmonid populations in the White Salmon River and increasing the availability of salmon and steelhead angling opportunities in the White Salmon River basin. The benefits of restoring access to anadromous and migratory salmonid habitat in the White Salmon River through the removal of Condit Dam are discussed in the Water Resource Inventory Area 29 limiting factors report (WCC 1999) and the White Salmon River subbasin summary report (Rawding 2000), and are part of the larger recovery effort for Endangered Species Act-listed salmonids in the lower Columbia River. New thermal refuge habitat for migrating Columbia River anadromous salmonids from other sub-basins also would be accessible after the removal of Condit Dam. Additional stream habitat for resident fish would be created in the lakebed of the former reservoir. Additionally, the small increase in water temperature below Condit Dam from the discharge of warmed reservoir surface water would be eliminated, improving the quality of thermal refuge, and the recruitment of gravel and large woody debris from sources above the dam site would be reestablished. Foraging, wintering, refuge habitat, and possibly spawning habitat would be created for Columbia River bull trout. Juvenile anadromous salmonids would provide forage for bull trout, and salmon carcasses in the watershed above the Condit Dam site would provide an additional source of marine-derived nutrients to the watershed. There would be more suitable substrate for stream-dwelling aquatic macroinvertebrates after the stream substrate has stabilized.

4.3.3 Mitigation Measures

Drain Tunnel

Concrete rubble from construction of the tunnel would be captured and prevented from entering the river. After dam breaching, any blocks of concrete that get in the stream would be removed. Downstream from the powerhouse, pH would be monitored continuously and compared with background levels.

Sediment Transport

The dam will be breached in late autumn to take advantage of the rainy season when there will be fewer adverse effects on aquatic life.

Dislodging unstable sediment and woody debris would help ensure that the reservoir sediment is transported downstream over the predicted three- to five-year period and does not affect long-term water quality, pool depths, or spawning gravels.

Heavy equipment should be used to cut channels through tributary lake sediment delta at Mill Creek to hasten the creation of a stable stream channel and prevent fish passage blockage by the sediment. The sediment assessment following reservoir draining will determine specific needs.

The White Salmon Working Group has proposed to capture the fall Chinook returning to the White Salmon River before the dam is breached in October, and transport them upstream of the project area to prevent the loss of a Chinook year-class.

Dam and Appurtenance Removal

Use of BMPs will avoid or minimize impacts associated with the use of haul roads, staging area, and disposal sites, and filling the surge tank tailrace.

Cofferdam removal would occur as soon as possible after dam removal and probably be accomplished by blasting while suspended sediment levels exclude upstream migrating fish.

4.3.4 Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts

The duration of elevated mercury concentrations in the White Salmon River would undoubtedly harm aquatic organisms. However, it is already assumed that all fish and aquatic macroinvertebrates within the White Salmon River channel downstream of the dam would likely be killed or displaced by the load of suspended solids released during dam breaching. Therefore, the elevated mercury concentrations would not contribute to additional mortality within the White Salmon River.

4.4 TRANSPORTATION

Changes that affect transportation have been made in the project since the Final SEPA SEIS. The first change is that the proposed location for concrete disposal has been moved to the flowline alignment from SA-3. That means that the trucks hauling concrete would not travel on access road AR-3 or on Tamarack Lane (now known as Forester Lane). The new location for the concrete disposal also necessitates hauling soil material from the drained reservoir and possibly from original excavated fill from flowline construction to use as a topsoil cover over the concrete so that revegetation would be successful. If it required 1,000 truckloads of soil material and one assumed that the material was hauled over a period of three months, then about 15 loads per day would move over Northwestern Lake Road, SR 141, and Powerhouse Road, as well as the local access roads at each end.

4.4.1 Affected Environment

The roadways potentially affected by the proposed project were described in the Final SEPA SEIS, including SR 141, SR 14, Powerhouse Road, Graves Road, Northwestern Lake Road, and Cabin Road. Existing traffic volumes were reported, and based on the volumes, the roads were determined to be at LOS A. With expected growth, the LOS would still be at LOS A at the time the project is underway.

4.4.2 Impacts

The analysis in the Final SEPA SEIS showed that the number of vehicle trips (both employee vehicles and trucks) generated by the project would not change the LOS or operation of any of the roads. That would be true even if concrete recycling required trucks to haul the concrete off site. With the proposed changes, including the addition of trucks hauling sediment from the reservoir to cover the concrete in the new disposal area, the same result would occur. In other words, the increase in traffic is so slight that there would be no change in level of service or other operational measures.

4.4.3 Mitigation Measures

The mitigation measures listed in the Final SEPA SEIS would be unchanged.

4.4.4 Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts

With the implementation of the mitigation measures identified above, no significant unavoidable adverse impacts are expected to occur to transportation or traffic.

4.5 LAND USE/CRITICAL AREAS

This section evaluates land use and critical areas that could be affected as a result of the proposed action. Recreational impacts and mitigation measures were addressed in previous FERC documents and are not addressed in this section. Other discussions related to land use/critical areas were included in Water Resources (Section 4.2), Aquatic Resources (Section 4.3), Public Safety (Section 4.11) and Public Services (Section 4.12) of the Final SEPA SEIS (Ecology 2007).

The Federal Power Act would preempt state and local permits, as noted in FERC's May 18, 2006 declaratory order (except laws adjudicating proprietary water rights). It does not prevent FERC from ordering PacifiCorp to implement decommissioning requirements proposed by state and local agencies, including other state permits and permits from Klickitat County or Skamania County.

4.5.1 Affected Environment

For the proposed action (dam removal), the affected area analyzed would be the area from the Northwestern Lake Bridge extending to the Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site adjacent to the Columbia River. Both Klickitat and Skamania Counties, on the east and west sides of Northwestern Lake and the White Salmon River, have land use jurisdiction. The US Forest Service manages lands upstream from the reservoir as part of the Lower White Salmon National Wild and Scenic River area. The US Forest Service also manages the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, which extends over the entire area below the Condit Dam. The discussion below includes land use and critical areas.

Under Klickitat County's Shoreline Master Program, proposed construction activities within 200 feet of a jurisdictional shoreline (such as Northwestern Lake) would require a shoreline substantial development permit. This program lists the proposed action area as a

conservancy environment. The purpose and intent of a conservancy environment is to protect, conserve and manage existing natural resources and/or unique, valuable, aesthetic, historic and cultural areas in order to achieve sustained resource utilization and provide recreational opportunities.

4.5.2 Impacts

The primary action not previously analyzed would be the use of the flowline alignment to deposit the concrete from the dam, rather than at the originally proposed Staging Area 3. After the flowline is removed, the concrete blocks and rubble removed from the dam would be hauled and deposited along the flowline alignment and then covered with sediment hauled from the drained reservoir (possibly supplemented with material originally excavated from the flowline alignment and left in adjacent berms) and revegetated. During the better part of a year, construction activities would occur within the 200-foot shoreline zone designated as a conservancy area.

However, construction BMPs would apply stringent erosion control measures to protect the river from sedimentation or other effects. When the work is completed and the area restored to native vegetation, the natural resources that were compromised by the construction of the dam and flowline would be on a trajectory of recovery to natural conditions. The project and its results should be well within the guidelines of the conservancy designation. With less activity needed SA-3, it should have fewer impacts on neighbors and facilities than were originally proposed.

4.5.3 Mitigation Measures

PacifiCorp has updated several plans and proposed construction BMPs to address impacts from the proposed action. Some of those measures address impacts to land use and critical areas. No new mitigation measures are proposed.

4.5.4 Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts

If the PacifiCorp Sediment Assessment, Stabilization, and Management Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008a), Erosion Control Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2008b) and Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (PacifiCorp Energy 2009b), and other mitigation measures are implemented, no long-term unavoidable significant adverse impacts to land use/critical areas are anticipated. There would be short-term significant unavoidable adverse impacts to sites along or near the reservoir that would be used for work areas, construction staging or for disposal, and from the access roads that would be built in several locations.

Table 3
Mercury Concentrations in Columbia River Basin Salmonids and Stream Sediments
in the Vicinity of the White Salmon River and Bonneville Pool

Sample Location	State	River Mile ^a	Reference ^b	Mercury Concentrations (mg/kg) in Sediment and Fish Tissue					
				Total Organic Carbon (%)	Sediment	Fish	Chinook	Steelhead	Rainbow
Fifteen Mile Creek Basin	OR	192.0	Inouye 1991			0.219			
Mayer State Park	OR	180.8	Inouye 1991	0.6–2.3	0.03–0.09				
Klickitat River Mouth	WA	180.4	EIM 2008			0.266–0.51			
Klickitat River Mouth	WA	180.4	Inouye 1991				0.1–0.5	0.05–0.12	
Bingham Boat Basin and Marina	WA	170.8	Inouye 1991	1.3–1.7	0.08–0.13				
Port of Hood River	OR	169.0	Inouye 1991	1.2–2.5	0.05–0.09				
Hood River Marina	OR	169.0	Inouye 1991	0.74–0.76	0.03				
Hood River	OR	169.0	Inouye 1991				0.1–0.19		
White Salmon River Basin	WA	168.3	Kleinfelder 2007b		0.142 (avg.)				
White Salmon River Mouth	WA	168.3	Inouye 1991		0.72				
White Salmon River In Lieu Site	WA	168.3	Kleinfelder 2008a	1.2	0.72–1.20 (0.96 avg.)				0.295
Northwestern Lake	WA	168.3	EIM 2008						0.243
Northwestern Lake	WA	168.3	EIM 2008						0.185–0.308
Northwestern Lake	WA	168.3	Inouye 1991		0.78				
Northwestern Lake	WA	168.3	Kleinfelder 2007b	0.86–1.64	0.02–2.03 (0.724 avg.)				
Little White Salmon River	WA	162.6	Inouye 1991		0.014		0.08–0.15		
Wind River Boat Ramp	WA	154.7	Inouye 1991	1.5–3.7	0.04–0.05				
Wind River Mouth	WA	154.7	Inouye 1991	0.1–1.4	0.03–0.05				
Wind River	WA	154.7	Inouye 1991		0.054		0.08–0.15		
Government Cove	OR	151.6	Inouye 1991	1.7–3.7	0.08–0.13				
Hernan Creek	OR	150.7	Inouye 1991	1.0–6.0	0.02–0.08				
Rock Creek Mouth	WA	150.0	Inouye 1991	0.2–5.8	0.04–0.07				
Bonneville Dam	OR	146.5	Inouye 1991	1.5–1.6	0.2				

a. River Mile represents the Columbia River Mile where sediments were collected or where the tributaries drain into the Columbia River.

b. See Section 5.0, References

5.0 REFERENCES

- Allen, B. and P. Connolly. 2006. Assessment of current use and productivity of fish in the lower White Salmon River prior to the removal of Condit dam. U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, Cook, Washington.
- Amend, D.F. 1970. Retention of mercury by salmon. *The Progressive Fish Culturist* 32:192-194.
- de Oliveira Ribeiro C.A., L. Belger, E. Pelletier, and C. Rouleau. 2002. Histopathological evidence of inorganic mercury and methyl mercury toxicity in the arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*). *Environ Res.* 90(3):217-25. November.
- Ecology – see Washington State Department of Ecology
- Engle, R. and J. Skalicky. 2009. Capture, Transportation, and Reintroduction of Lower Columbia River Fall Chinook Salmon into the Upper White Salmon River. US Fish and Wildlife Service, Columbia River Fisheries Program Office. March 13.
- Environmental Information Management (EIM). 2008. Environmental Information Management database: fish tissue concentrations, NTU data. Accessed at: <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/eim/>.
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). 1996. Condit Hydroelectric Project Final Environmental Impact Statement, FERC No. 2342-005, Washington. October.
- . 2002. Final Supplemental Final Environmental Impact Statement, Condit Hydroelectric Project, Washington, FERC Project No. 2342. June.
- Finley Engineering Company, Inc. 2006. Letter re: Condit Dam and Northwestern Lake Hydrographic Surveys Final Report to Tim Hemstreet, Senior Engineer, PacifiCorp Hydro Resources. August 8.
- G&G Associates. 2004a. Condit Hydroelectric Project Removal – Sediment Behavior Analysis Report. May.
- . 2004b. Condit Dam Hydroelectric Project Removal – Sediment Behavior Effects on Beneficial Uses. May.
- GEC. 2009. Estimated Mercury Concentrations and Turbidity Resulting from Removal of Condit Dam. Condit Dam Removal Supplementary Mercury Sediment Analysis. Final Report. January 6.
- Haagen, Edward. 1990. *Soil Survey of Skamania County Area, Washington*. USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.

- Huckabee, J.W. and N.A. Griffith. 1974. Toxicity of mercury and selenium to the eggs of carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Trans. Amer. Fish Soc.* 103:822-825.
- Inouye, Laura. 1991. Washington State Department of Ecology. Personal communications with Tim Sherman, US Army Corps of Engineers regarding MOPS data.
- Kania, H., and J. O'Hara Jr. 1974. Behavioral alterations in a simple predator-prey system due to sublethal exposure to mercury. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 103:134–136.
- Kleinfelder. 2007a. Sediment Sampling and Analysis Report, Northwestern Lake, Condit Hydroelectric Project, FERC Project No. 2342, White Salmon, Washington. March 4.
- . 2007b. Supplemental Evaluation of Mercury in Sediments Report, Northwestern Lake, Condit Hydroelectric Project, FERC Project No. 2342. November.
- . 2008a. Updated Evaluation of Mercury Bioaccumulation, Underwood In Lieu Fishing Access Site, Northwestern Lake. Condit Hydroelectric Project, FERC Project No. 2342, White Salmon, Washington. December 4.
- . 2008b. Mercury Demethylation Considerations for Condit Dam Sediments. Technical Memorandum. December 1.
- Munn, M.D., and Short, T.M. 1997. Spatial heterogeneity of mercury bioaccumulation by walleye in Franklin D. Roosevelt Lake and the upper Columbia River, Washington. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 126:477–487.
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2006. Biological Opinion and Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Consultation – Interim Operation, Decommissioning, and Removal of the Condit Hydroelectric Project FERC No. 2342 Skamania and Klickitat Counties, Washington. October 12.
- Newcomb, T.W., T.A. Flagg. 1983. Some effects of Mt. St. Helens volcanic ash on juvenile salmon smolts. *Marine Fisheries Review*, 45(2):8-12.
- PacifiCorp. 2004. Project Description. Condit Hydroelectric Project, FERC Project No. 2342. June 4.
- PacifiCorp Energy. 2008a. Sediment Assessment, Stabilization, and Management Plan. Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. October.
- . 2008b. Erosion Control Plan. Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. October.
- . 2008c. Aquatic Resources Protection Plan. Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. December.

- . 2008d. Dust Control Plan. Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. October.
- . 2008e. Woody Debris Management Plan. Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. October.
- . 2008f. Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan (SPCC Plan). Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. October.
- . 2008g. Quality Control and Inspection Program. Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. October.
- . 2009a. Project Removal Design Report. Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. February.
- . 2009b. Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan. Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. January.
- . 2009c. Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan. Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. January.
- . 2009d. Recreation Facility Removal and Improvements Plan. Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. January.
- . 2009e. Environmental Monitoring Plan. Condit Hydroelectric Project Decommissioning, FERC Project No. 2342. Draft Final Report. April.
- Rawding, Dan. 2000. Draft Little White Salmon River Subbasin Summary. Prepared for the Northwest Power Planning Council by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.
- Smith, C.T., A. LaGrange, W. Arden, R. Engle, and D. Olson. 2007. Genetic analysis of juvenile Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) collected in the White Salmon River. US Fish and Wildlife Service, Abernathy Fish Technology Center, Longview, Washington.
- Spangler, W.J., S.L. Spigarelli, J.M. Rose, and H.M. Miller. 1973. Methylmercury: bacterial degradation of lake sediments. *Science* 180:192.
- US Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Protection Agency Region 10, Washington Department of Ecology, Washington Department of Natural Resources, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Idaho Department of Environmental Quality, National Marine Fisheries Service, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USACE et al.). 2006. *Interim Final Sediment Evaluation Framework for the Pacific Northwest*. September.

Wagner, E.J., T. Bosakowski, and S. Intelman. 1997. Combined effects of temperature and high pH on mortality and the stress response of rainbow trout after stocking. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 126:985-998.

Washington Conservation Commission (WCC). 1999. Salmon and Steelhead Habitat Limiting Factors in WRIA 29. Washington Conservation Commission. Lacey, Washington.

Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). 2003. Mercury in Edible Fish Tissue and Sediments from Selected Lakes and Rivers of Washington State. *Publication No.* 03-03-026. June.

———. 2007. Condit Dam Removal, Final SEPA Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (FSEIS). Ecology Publication #07-06-012. March 23.

6.0 LIST OF PREPARERS

Ecology

Derek Sandison, R.S. (Ecology Project Manager/Responsible Official)
M.S., Natural Resource Management, Central Washington University, 1993
B.A., Biological Science, Central Washington University, 1974

URS Corporation

David Every, PhD, Ecologist (Project Manager, Terrestrial Resources, Wetlands)
Ph.D., Botany, University of Washington, 1977
M.S., Botany, University of Utah, 1969
B.S., Zoology, University of Utah, 1967

Paul Hamidi, Soil Scientist (CPSS)
M.S., Forestry, University of Montana, 1993
B.S., Forestry, University of Montana, 1988

Robert Nielsen, Fisheries Biologist (Aquatic Resources)
Ph.D., Fisheries, University of Washington, 1995
M.S., Fisheries, University of Washington, 1992
B.S., Fisheries, University of Washington, 1988

7.0 DISTRIBUTION LIST

American Rivers, Inc.
Northwest Regional Office
150 Nickerson St., Ste. 311
Seattle, WA 98109-1634

Kevin R. Colburn
National Stewardship Director
American Whitewater Affiliation
329 N Jefferson St.
Moscow, ID 83843-2641

Bob Bernstein
1730 SE 35th Pl.
Portland, OR 97214-5117

Mayor
Town of Bingen
Town Hall
Bingen, WA 98605

Bonneville Power Administration
Director
P.O. Box 3621
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Kathleen Carlile
3337 NE Oregon St.
Portland, OR 97232-2545

Johnny Jackson
Chief
Cascade Klickitat
P.O. Box 190
Underwood, WA 98651-0190

Kevin Kilduff
Central Cascades Alliance
P.O. Box 1104
Hood River, OR 97031-0038

Tracy Hanson
Central Klickitat Conservation District
1107 S. Columbus Ave.
Goldendale, WA 98620-9268

Victor & Phyllis Clausen
River Ford Farm
37 Stoller Rd.
Trout Lake, WA 98650-9712

John R. Donaldson, Ph.D.
Executive Director
Columbia Basin Fish & Wildlife Authority
2501 SW 1st Ave., Suite 200
Portland, OR 97201-4724

Dennis White
Columbia Gorge Audubon Society
367 Oak Ridge Rd.
White Salmon, WA 98672-8115

Richard Benner
Columbia River Gorge Commission
P.O. Box 730
White Salmon, WA 98672-0730

FERC Coordinator
Columbia River Gorge Nat'l Scenic Area
902 Wasco St., Suite 200
Hood River, OR 97031-3103

Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish
Commission
729 NE Oregon St., Suite 200
Portland, OR 97232-2174

Condit Dam Hydroelectric Project

Draft Second Supplemental EIS

Cyndy Debruler
Columbia River United
P.O. Box 912
Bingen, WA 98605-1612

Allen Thomas
The Columbian
P.O. Box 180
Vancouver, WA 98666-0180

Ralph Sampson
Confederated Tribes & Bands of the
Yakama
P.O. Box 151
Toppenish, WA 98948-0151

Jim and Sharon Day
P.O. Box 261
The Dalles, OR 97058-0261

Ted Dodd
835 SW Broadway Dr.
Portland, OR 97201-3105

Amy Haverland
ENTRIX
2701 1st Ave., Ste. 240
Seattle, WA 98121-1133

H. Paul Friesema
Environmental Policy Program, IPR
2040 Sheridan Rd.
Northwestern University
Evanston, IL 60208-0001

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Portland Regional Office
101 SW Main St., Ste. 905
Portland, OR 97204-3217

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. NE, Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

Peter W. Soverel
Federation of Fly Fishers
16430 72nd Ave W.
Edmonds, WA 98026-4908

Nathan J. Baker
Friends of the Columbia Gorge
522 SW 5th Ave., Suite 720
Portland, OR 97204

William Fulton
7102 Little Buck Creek Rd.
White Salmon, WA 98672-9002

Frank W. Frisk, Jr.
Great Lakes Electric Consumers Assoc.
1054 31st St. NW, Ste. 125
Washington, DC 20007-4403

Forest J. Hofer
P.O. Box 1879
White Salmon, WA 98672-1879

William Dickas
Kell, Alterman & Runstein
520 SW Yamhill St., Ste. 600
Portland, OR 97204-1329

City Clerk
City of Hood River
City Hall, Second Street
Hood River, OR 97031

Jim Rhodes
Husum/BZ Corner Community Council
17 Fordyce Rd.
White Salmon, WA 98672-8306

Raymond S. Kindley
Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt
1211 SW 5th Ave, Ste. 1600-1900
Portland, OR 97204

Mark King
172 Upper Lakeview Rd.
White Salmon, WA 98672-8100

Condit Dam Hydroelectric Project

Draft Second Supplemental EIS

John Graham
White Salmon Conservation League
20700 SE Curtis Rd.
Clackamas, OR 97015-6747

Timothy S. O'Neill
Klickitat County
205 S. Columbus Ave.
Goldendale, WA 98620-9279

James E. Amundsen
Klickitat Road Department
228 W. Main St.
Goldendale, WA 98620-9597

P. Stephen DiJulio
Foster Pepper & Shefelman
1111 3rd Ave., Ste. 3400
Seattle, WA 98101-3264

Roy L. Eiguren
Givens, Pursley & Huntley
P.O. Box 2720
Boise, ID 83701-2720

Klickitat County
Board of County Commissioners
205 S. Columbus Ave.
Goldendale, WA 98620-9279

Lee S. Sherline
Leighton & Sherline
8211 Chivalry Rd.
Annandale, VA 22003-1337

Thomas P. Graves
Mid-West Electric Consumers Assn.
4350 Wadsworth Blvd, Suite 330
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033-4641

Norman L. Winn
The Mountaineers
300 3rd Ave W.
Seattle, WA 98119-4120

Kelly McAffrey
The Mountaineers

300 3rd Ave W.
Seattle, WA 98119-4120

Keith Kirkendall
National Marine Fisheries Service
Hydro Division
1201 NE Lloyd Blvd, Ste. 1100
Portland, OR 97232-1274

Robert Lohn
National Marine Fisheries Service
7600 Sand Point Way NE, BIN C15700
Seattle, WA 98115-6349

Clayton R. Hawkes
National Marine Fisheries Service
525 NE Oregon St., Ste. 500
Portland, OR 97232-2778

Scott Carlon
National Marine Fisheries Service
1201 NE Lloyd Blvd, Ste. 1100
Portland, OR 97232-1274

Ritchie J. Graves
National Marine Fisheries Service
525 NE Oregon Street, Suite 500
Portland, OR 97232

Brett Joseph
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Admin.
1315 E West Hwy, Ste. 15752
Silver Spring, MD 20910-6233

Wallace F. Tillman
National Rural Electric Cooperative Assn
4301 Wilson Blvd
Arlington, VA 22203-1867

Rick Applegate
Northwest Power Planning Council
851 SW 6th Ave, Suite 1100
Portland, OR 97204-1337

Condit Dam Hydroelectric Project

Draft Second Supplemental EIS

William G. Laitner
Olympic National Park
600 E. Park Ave.
Port Angeles, WA 98362-6757

Todd Olsen
PacifiCorp
825 NE Multnomah St., Ste. 1500
Portland, OR 97232-2135

Michael P O'Connell
Stoel Rives LLP
600 University St., Ste. 3600
Seattle, WA 98101-4109

Jeffrey S. Lovinger
Lovinger Norling Kaufmann, LLP
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 925
Portland, OR 97232

Michael G. Neff
Haglund, Kirtley, Kelley & Horngren, LLP
101 SW Main St., Suite 1800
Portland, OR 97204-3228

John A. Whittaker IV
Winston & Strawn LLP
1700 K St. NW
Washington, DC 20006-3817

B. Gil Sharp
P.O. Box 457
Hood River, OR 97031-0015

Jim Young
Sierra Club Northwest Office
180 Nickerson St., Ste. 207
Seattle, WA 98109-1631

Liz Frenkel
Sierra Club Oregon Chapter
2950 SE Stark St., Ste. 110
Portland, OR 97214-3082

Bradley W. Andersen
Skamania County, Washington
P.O. Box 790
Stevenson, WA 98648-0790

County Commissioners
Skamania County
P.O. Box 790
Stevenson, WA 98648-0790

William Slockish Jr.
P.O. Box 782
The Dalles, OR 97058-0782

Anthony Grover
Northwest Power Planning Council
851 SW 6th Ave, Suite 1100
Portland, OR 97204-1337

Forest F. Stacy
c/o Oglethorpe Power Corporation
2100 E Exchange Pl.
Tucker, GA 30084-5336

Stephen Wille
Southwest Washington Anglers
1701 Broadway St., #246
Vancouver, WA 98663-3436

Ted Coombes
Southwestern Power Resources Assn
P.O. Box 471827
Tulsa, OK 74147-1827

Donald E. Kempf
Stillaguamish Tribe
P.O. Box 277
Arlington, WA 98223-0277

Leon F Szeptycki
Environmental Counsel
Trout Unlimited
1300 17th St N., Ste. 500
Arlington, VA 22209-3800

Jeff Curtis
Trout Unlimited
231 SW Ash, Suite 208
Portland, OR 97204

Condit Dam Hydroelectric Project

Draft Second Supplemental EIS

Dan Haas
U.S.D.I. National Park Service
909 1st Ave
Seattle, WA 98104-1055

Ron Corbyn
U.S. National Park Service
1111 Jackson St., # 4700
Oakland, CA 94607-4807

Steve Stampfli
Underwood Conservation District
P.O. Box 96
White Salmon, WA 98672-0096

Secretary
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
P.O. Box 2870
Portland, OR 97208-2870

Dr. James T. Kardatzke
U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs
711 Stewarts Ferry Pike
Nashville, TN 37214-2751

Stanley Speaks
U.S.D.I. Bureau of Indian Affairs
911 NE 11th Ave.
Portland, OR 97232-4128

State Director (OR-936.1)
U.S. Bureau of Land Management
P.O. Box 2965
Portland, OR 97208-2965

Barbara Scott-Brier
U.S. Department of the Interior
Office of the Solicitor
500 NE Multnomah St., Ste. 607
Portland, OR 97232-2036

Thomas M. Wolf
Trout Unlimited, Oregon Council
22875 NW Chestnut St.
Hillsboro, OR 97124-6545

Terence N. Martin
U.S. Department of the Interior
1849 C St. NW, MS-2340-MIB
Washington, DC 20240-0001

John E. Bregar
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
MS ECO-088
1200 6th Ave.
Seattle, WA 98101-3123

Craig Hansen
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
510 Desmond Dr. SE
Lacey, WA 98503-1263

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Attn: Director or FERC Coordinator
911 NE 11th Ave.
Portland, OR 97232-4169

Preston Sleeper
U.S. Department of the Interior
500 NE Multnomah St., Ste. 356
Portland, OR 97232-2033

Lou Ellyn Jones
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
510 Desmond Dr. SE, Ste. 102
Lacey, WA 98503

John Butruille
U.S. Forest Service
P.O. Box 3623
333 SW 1st Ave.
Portland, OR 97204-3440

Steve Mellor
U.S. Forest Service
902 Wasco St., Ste. 200
Hood River, OR 97031-3104

James Hulbert
Columbia Gorge Nat'l Scenic Area
902 Wasco St., Ste. 200
Hood River, OR 97031-3104

Condit Dam Hydroelectric Project

Draft Second Supplemental EIS

Mona M. Janopaul
Hydropower Program Manager
U.S. Forest Service
201 14th St. SW, Fl. 4
Washington, DC 20250-0001

Gordon Sloane
U.S. Forest Service
Aquatic Center Forest Wetlands Research
2730 Savannah Hwy
Charleston, SC 29414-5329

Area Manager
Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area
902 Wasco St., Ste. 200
Hood River, OR 97031-3117

Honorable Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Honorable Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Craig Burley
Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife
2108 Grand Blvd - MS S-19
Vancouver, WA 98563

Douglas Robison
Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife
8702 N Division St.
Spokane, WA 99218-1106

Washington Dept. of Fish & Wildlife
Chief, Habitat Division
600 N. Capitol Way
Olympia, WA 98504-0001

John Weinheimer
Washington Dept. of Fish & Wildlife
2108 Grand Blvd
Vancouver, WA 98661-4624

Mark Hunter
Washington Dept. of Fish & Wildlife
600 Capitol Way North
Olympia, WA 98504-0001

Hal Beecher
Washington Dept. of Fish & Wildlife
600 Capitol Way N.
Olympia, WA 98501-1076

William C. Frymire
Washington Office of Attorney General
P.O. Box 40100
Olympia, WA 98504-0100

Stephanie Kramer
Washington Office of Archaeology &
Historic Preservation
111 W. 21st Avenue, KL-11
Olympia, WA 98504-0001

Brian Faller
Washington Office of Attorney General
P.O. Box 40117
Olympia, WA 98504-0117

Jay J. Manning, Director
Washington State Department of Ecology
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

Kurt Beardslee
Wild Fish Conservancy
P.O. Box 402
Duvall, WA 98019-0402

Washington Utilities and Transportation
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

John Leary
Washington Wilderness Coalition
4649 Sunnyside Ave N, Suite 242
Seattle, WA 98103-6900

Condit Dam Hydroelectric Project

Draft Second Supplemental EIS

Joe Walicki
Washington Wilderness Coalition
4649 Sunnyside Ave N, Suite 209
Seattle, WA 98103-6900

Jim Anderson
White Salmon River Steelheaders
P.O. Box 1362
White Salmon, WA 98672-1362

Aaron Kilgore
White Salmon River Steelheaders
P.O. Box 556
White Salmon, WA 98672-0556

John P. Williams
19815 NW Nestucca Dr.
Portland, OR 97229-2833

Steve Parker
Yakama Indian Nation
P.O. Box 151
Toppenish, WA 98948-0151

Tom Ring
Yakama Indian Nation
P.O. Box 151
Toppenish, WA 98948-0151

Governor Christine O. Gregoire
Office of the Governor
P.O. Box 40002
Olympia, WA 98504-0002

City Clerk
City of White Salmon
P.O. Box 505
White Salmon, WA 98672-0505

State of Oregon
Department of Environmental Quality
811 SW 6th Avenue
Portland, OR 97204-1390

Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs
Reservation
P.O. Box C
Warm Springs, OR 97761

SEPA Center
WA State Department of Natural Resources
P.O. Box 47015
Olympia, WA 98504-7015

WA Department of Agriculture
P.O. Box 42560
Olympia, WA 98504-2560

Dr. Robert Whitlam
Office of Archaeology & Historic
Preservation
P.O. Box 48343
Olympia, WA 98504-8343

Jan Haywood
WA Department of Health
P.O. Box 47820
Olympia, WA 98504-7820

Mr. Peter Riley
WA Dept. of Community Development
P.O. Box 48300
Olympia, WA 98504-8300

WA Parks & Recreation Commission
P.O. Box 42668
Olympia, WA 98504-2668

Ms. Peg Plummer
WA Department of Ecology
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

Mr. Bob Hubenthal
WA Dept. of Social and Health Services
P.O. Box 45848
Olympia, WA 98504-5848

Ms. Teresa Eturaspe
WA Dept. of Fish and Wildlife
P.O. Box 43200
Olympia, WA 98504-3155

Ms. Carol Lee Roalkvam
WA Dept. of Transportation

Condit Dam Hydroelectric Project

Draft Second Supplemental EIS

P.O. Box 47330
Olympia, WA 98504-7330

Mr. Travis Goddard
Clark County
P.O. Box 9810
Vancouver, WA 98668-9810

Ms. Karen Witherspoon
Skamania County Dept. of Planning
P.O. Box 790
Stevenson, WA 98648-0790

Mr. Charles J. Beyer
Wahkiakum County
P.O. Box 97
Cathlamet, WA 98612-0097

Mr. Curt Dreyer
Klickitat County
228 West Main St., MS-CH-17
Goldendale, WA 98620

Mr. Monte Brachmann
City of Camas
P.O. Box 1055
Camas, WA 98607-0055

Mr. Kent Anderson
City of Kelso
105 Allen St.
Kelso, WA 98626

John Granholm or Maryann Duncan-Cole
City of Stevenson
P.O. Box 371
Stevenson, WA 98648

Mr. Robert Millspaw
City of Longview
P.O. Box 128
Longview, WA 98632-7080

Mr. Chad Elkin
City of Vancouver

P.O. Box 1995
Vancouver, WA 98668-1995

Loree Randall
WA Department of Ecology
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

Mr. David Joyner
Southwest Clean Air Agency
1308 NE 134th St.
Vancouver, WA 98685-2747

City of Washougal
1701 C St.
Washougal, WA 98671-2333

Bruce Sheppard
Burlington Northern/Santa Fe Railroad
2454 Occidental Avenue S, Suite 1A
Seattle, WA 98134

Nez Perce Tribe
P.O. Box 365
Lapwai, ID 83540

Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Nation
P.O. Box 638
Pendleton, OR 97801

Tom Tebb
Department of Ecology
15 W Yakima Ave. Ste. 200
Yakima WA 98902

Polly Zehm
Deputy Director
Department of Ecology
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

Goldendale Community Library
131 West Burgen
Goldendale, WA
98620

White Salmon Valley Community Library
#5 Town & Country Square

Condit Dam Hydroelectric Project

Draft Second Supplemental EIS

White Salmon, WA
98672

Bob Vocke
PO Box 267
Husum, WA 98623

Judy Linton
CE-NWP-OP-GP
333 SW First Avenue
Portland, OR 97208

Shirley Dallas
Washington State Library
PO Box 42470
Olympia, WA 98504-2470
Mail Stop 42470

Patricia Arnold
Friends of the White Salmon River
472 Sunnyside Road
Trout Lake, WA 98650

Brett Swift
American Rivers
320 SW Stark Street, Suite 418
Portland, OR 97204

Sarah Arnold
PO Box 352
Trout Lake, WA 98650

Lon Ball, President
Klickitat Organics, LLC
149 Little Mountain Road
Trout Lake, WA 98650

David Bean
Wild Salmon Nation
3100 SE Tenth Avenue
Portland, OR 97202

Kimberly R. Burkland
2414 W. Mission Avenue
Spokane, Wa 99201
Pat Campbell
PO Box 873206

Vancouver, WA 98687-3206

James Contos, Ph.D.
PO Box 19024
Seattle, WA 98109-1024

Daniel Dancer
PO Box 693
Moiser, OR 97040

Karen Hensley
Paddle Trails Canoe Club
515 Whitworth Avenue South
Renton, WA 98055

Stuart Johnson
PO Box 505
Lyle, WA 98635-0011

James L Kacena
PO Box 2024
White Salmon, WA 98672

Mark Lesage
PO Box 158
Husum, WA 98623

Jay Letto
1208 Snowden Road
White Salmon, WA 98672

John O'Shea
433 SE 70th Avenue
Portland, OR 97215

TM Parkinson
PO Box 616
White Salmon, WA 98672

Richard Sisson
1501 K Street
Washougal, WA 98671

Jason Spadaro
PO Box 266

Condit Dam Hydroelectric Project

Draft Second Supplemental EIS

Bingen WA 98605

Elizabeth Vogt
PO Box 2211
White Salmon, WA 98672

Mark Zoller
1248 Hwy 141
White Salmon, WA 98672

Rebecca Sherman
NW Coordinator-Hydropower
Reform Coalition
320 SW Stark Street, Suite 429
Portland, OR 97204-2634

Thomas O'Keefe
Pacific NW Stewardship Director
3537 NE 87th Street
Seattle, WA 98115

Rob Kirschner
Columbia Riverkeeper
721 NW 9th Avenue, Suite 300
Portland, OR 97209

Curtis Kroger
Associated Earth Sciences, Inc
911 5th Avenue, Suite 100
Kirkland, WA 98033

Carl Hadley
Cedarock Consultants, Inc
19609 244th Avenue NE
Woodenville, WA 98077

Andrew Kindig
AC Kindig & Co.
12501 Bellevue Redmond Road, Suite 110
Bellevue, WA 98005

Michael O'Connell
One Union Square

600 University Street, Suite 3600
Seattle, WA 98101-3197

Keith Kirkendall, Chief
NOAA Portland Office
1201 NE Lloyd Blvd, Suite 1100
Portland, OR 97232-1274

Bill Bakke
PO Box 19570
Portland, OR 97280

John Graham, President
White Salmon Conservation League
41 Private Lake Rd
White Salmon, WA 98672